

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/618,723	07/15/2003	Akio Ogawa	A1585.0001	7415
32172	7590 02/23/2005		EXAM	INER
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE)			SANTIAGO, MARICELI	
41 ST FL.	E OF THE AMERICAS (	OTH AVENUE)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10036-2714		2879	10. 4-1

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/618,723	OGAWA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mariceli Santiago	2879	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH a, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communical  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice of the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the closed in accordance with the closed in accordance with the closed in accordance with the closed in acc	s action is non-final.  Ince except for formal matters		is
Disposition of Claims		•	
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. <ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
Application Papers			•
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 July 2003 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  Its have been received in Apportity documents have been re  The control of the control	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/618,723

**Art Unit: 2879** 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arai et al. (JP 11-339969) in view of Egusa et al. (US 5,343,050).

Regarding claim 1, Arai discloses an organic EL display element (Fig. 1) comprising an anode (2), an organic layer (3), and a cathode (4) which are laminated in this order on a substrate (1), the display element further comprising a stress relaxation layer (5) formed on the cathode (4) after the cathode is formed, the stress relaxation layer (5) being a film which exhibits tensile stress when the film stress of the cathode is compressive stress or exhibits compressive stress when the film stress of the cathode is tensile stress (Abstract). Arai is silent in regards to the limitation of the organic layer consisting of plural material and layers and an electron injection layer within the EL element. However, in the same field of endeavor, Egusa discloses a conventional organic EL display element in which an organic layer consisting of plural materials and layer and an electron injection layer are laminated as part of the El display element structure. Accordingly, since Arai is concerned with the reduction of stress on the cathode element of organic EL devices, one of ordinary skill in the art would reasonable expect the successful performance of the protective layer disclosed by Arai in such conventional organic EL devices which further comprise an organic EL layer consisting of plural material and layers and an electron injection layer within the EL element. Thus, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skills in the art the use of the

Application/Control Number: 10/618,723

**Art Unit: 2879** 

stress protective layer disclosed by Arai in organic El devices of Egusa in order to reduce the stress on the cathode element of the organic EL devices.

Regarding claim 2, Arai discloses an organic EL display element wherein the absolute value of the sum of the film stresses of the cathode and the stress relaxation layer is 10 (N/m) or less (Abstract).

Regarding claim 3, Arai discloses an organic EL display element wherein the stress relaxation layer is a stress relaxation layer made of at least one type selected from the group consisting of Cu, Ni, Mo and Ti.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Arai discloses an organic EL display element wherein the absolute value of the sum of the film stresses of the cathode, the stress relaxation layer and the other layers is 10 (N/m) or less (Abstract).

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaoka et al. (JP 2000-133462) in view of Egusa et al. (US 5,343,050).

Regarding claim 1, Sasaoka discloses an organic EL display element (Fig. 8) comprising an anode (12), an organic layer (15) consisting of plural materials and layers, and a cathode (16) which are laminated in this order on a substrate (11), the display element further comprising a stress relaxation layer (17) formed on the cathode (16) after the cathode is formed, the stress relaxation layer (17) being a film which exhibits tensile stress when the film stress of the cathode is compressive stress or exhibits compressive stress when the film stress of the cathode is tensile stress (Paragraph5 [0045-0051]). Sasaoka is silent in regards to the limitation of the EL element comprising an electron injection layer. However, in the same field of endeavor, Egusa discloses a conventional organic EL display element in which an electron injection layer is laminated as part of the El display element structure. Accordingly, since

Application/Control Number: 10/618,723

Art Unit: 2879

Sasaoka is concerned with the reduction of stress on the cathode element of organic EL devices, one of ordinary skill in the art would reasonable expect the successful performance of the protective layer disclosed by Sasaoka in such conventional organic EL devices which further comprise an electron injection layer within the EL element. Thus, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skills in the art the use of the stress protective layer disclosed by Sasaoka in organic EL devices of Egusa in order to reduce the stress on the cathode element of the organic EL devices.

Regarding claim 2, Sasaoka discloses an organic EL display element wherein the absolute value of the sum of the film stresses of the cathode and the stress relaxation layer is 10 (N/m) or less (zero stress, Paragraph5 [0045-0051]).

Regarding claim 3, Sasaoka discloses an organic EL display element wherein the stress relaxation layer is a stress relaxation layer made of at least one type selected from the group consisting of titanium and silicon oxide (Paragraph [0049]).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Sasaoka discloses an organic EL display element wherein the absolute value of the sum of the film stresses of the cathode, the stress relaxation layer and the other layers is 10 (N/m) or less (zero stress, Paragraph5 [0045-0051]).

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mariceli Santiago whose telephone number is (571) 272-2464. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

**Art Unit: 2879** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mariceli Santiago
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2879